# EXHIBIT E

		San Francisco Collini Superior Court		
	. ,	JAN 2 5 2008		
2 3 4 5 6	ANNETTE L. HURST (State Bar No. 148738) DANIEL N. KASSABIAN (State Bar No. 215249) ELENA M. DIMUZIO (State Bar No. 239953) HELLER EHRMAN LLP 333 Bush Street San Francisco, California 94104-2878 Telephone: +1.415.772.6000 Facsimile: +1.415.772.6268 E-mail: Annette.Hurst@HellerEhrman.com Daniel.Kassabian@HellerEhrman.com	JUN 2 7 2008 - 9 40 AM DEPARTMENT 212		
7	Elena.DiMuzio@HellerEhrman.com			
8 9	Attorneys for Plaintiff CANTER & ASSOCIATES, LLC			
10	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE S	TATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11	CITY AND COUNTY OF	l l		
12	(Unlimited Civil Case) CC08-471311			
13	CANTER & ASSOCIATES, LLC,	Case No.:		
14	a Delaware corporation,	COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE		
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	TEACHSCAPE, INC., a Delaware corporation, and DOES 1-15, inclusive,  Defendants.	RELIEF, RESTITUTION AND DAMAGES ARISING FROM:  (1) MISAPPROPRIATION OF TRADE SECRETS;  (2) INTENTIONAL INTERFERENCE WITH PROSPECTIVE ECONOMIC RELATIONSHIP;  (3) INTENTIONAL INTERFERENCE WITH		
22		CONTRACT; (4) UNTRUE OR MISLEADING		
23		ADVERTISING; AND (5) UNFAIR COMPETITION;		
24				
2	li li	Business Tort/Unfair Business Practice		
2		Amount Demanded Exceeds \$25,000		
2	1	•		
2	· ·			
Heller Ehrman LLP				
	COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE REL	IEF, RESTITUTION & DAMAGES		
	<del>-</del>			

3

4

5

8

7

11 12

10

13 14

> 15 16

17 18

19

20 21

222324

2526

27

Heller Ehrman uup Plaintiff Canter & Associates, LLC ("Canter") alleges:

#### THE PARTIES

- 1. Canter, formerly Canter & Associates, Inc. is, and at all times mentioned in the complaint has been, a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware and qualified to do business in California, with its principal place of business in the County of Los Angeles, in the State of California.
- 2. Defendant Teachscape, Inc. ("Teachscape") is, and at all times mentioned in the complaint has been, a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business in the City and County of San Francisco, in the State of California.
- 3. Canter does not presently know the true names and capacities of the defendants sued herein as Does 1 through 15, inclusive (each of them and collectively, "Does"). Canter will seek leave of court to amend the complaint to allege Does' true names and capacities as soon as Canter ascertains them.
- 4. At all times mentioned herein, each defendant was the agent and/or employee of each and all the other defendants and was acting in the course of such agency and/or employment. (Hereinafter, Teachscape and Does are referred to collectively as "Defendants.")

# FACTUAL BACKGROUND COMMON TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION Canter's Position In The Marketplace Before Defendants' Wrongful Conduct

- 5. Canter has developed and marketed distance-delivered education master's degree programs and graduate courses for teachers since 1989. One example of Canter's distance-delivered education master's degree program offerings is its Master in the Art of Teaching ("MAT") program offered through one of its educational institution partners, Marygrove College ("Marygrove"), which dates back to 1994. The particular terms of its contractual relationship with Marygrove are confidential.
- 6. During its relationship with Marygrove, Canter developed a specialized master's degree program, and in particular a MAT degree program with a focus on

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Curriculum, Instruction and Assessment ("Canter's CIA Degree Program") that was offered to teachers through Marygrove. The development of Canter's CIA Degree Program was accomplished at great cost and effort to Canter.

- In the course of developing relationships with its educational institution 7. partners for the delivery of distance-delivered education master's degree and graduate course programs, Canter learned, at great cost and effort, the particular requirements and preferences of each partner, and developed proprietary methods of obtaining accreditation for its distance-learning course and degree programs. This information was not generally known to the public, and Canter went to great lengths to keep this information confidential. Canter continues to use this information in its efforts to expand and extend its relationships with its educational institution partners.
- Also as part of its distance-delivered education business, Canter invested years of market research and substantial financial resources into an analysis of the educational marketplace. As part of this investment, in 2003 Canter developed two confidential three-year strategic plans. The strategic plans contained information regarding lucrative markets, as well as those market segments that Canter had determined were not profitable to pursue. Also included in the strategic plans were methods for increasing enrollment and thus the profitability of Canter's long-term relationships with various educational institution partners, such as Marygrove.
- In the 2001 through 2003 time-frame, Canter developed various confidential 9. Corporate Investment Proposals, incorporating proprietary and confidential research that it had done regarding the importance of targeting reading, literacy, and mathematics as degree program growth areas. Pursuant to these proposals, in the 2001 through 2004 time-frame Canter developed several specialized programs for master's degrees in these areas, including a specialization in Elementary Reading and Literacy, for Grades K-6 ("Canter's Reading Degree Program"), and two specializations in Mathematics, with one for Grades K-5 and one for Grades 6-8 (collectively, "Canter's Math Degree Programs"), in addition to the preexisting Canter CIA Degree Program. The development of Canter's

Heller Ehrman LLP Reading and Math Degree Programs, including the establishment of vendor contacts and relationships for the creation and production of video and written materials for the courses in these programs, was accomplished at great effort to Canter.

## Canter's Employees Leave To Work For Teachscape

- 10. Starting in the Summer of 2004 and continuing through approximately October 2005, Teachscape hired, or contracted with, at least twelve former employees of Canter (collectively "Canter's Former Employees"), including:
- (1) Valerie Cameron: Ms. Cameron was formerly employed by Canter from May 2001 through February 2005, most recently as a Marketing Manager, Distance Learning Masters Program. As a Marketing Manager, Distance Learning Masters Program, Ms. Cameron was responsible for developing marketing campaigns using Canter's confidential and proprietary methodology for programs offered through Canter's various educational institution partners. Upon information and belief, Ms. Cameron afterward was, and/or currently is, employed by or an independent contractor of Teachscape.
- (2) Anna Crupi: Ms. Crupi was formerly employed by Canter from February 1997 through April 2005, most recently as a Marketing Manager, Distance Learning Masters Program. As a Marketing Manager, Distance Learning, Ms. Crupi was responsible for developing marketing campaigns using Canter's confidential and proprietary methodology, and further refining that methodology as a result of the latest campaign. Upon information and belief, Ms. Crupi afterward was, and/or currently is, employed by or an independent contractor of Teachscape.
- June 2001 through July 2005, most recently as its Program Specialist in Product
  Development. As a Program Specialist, Ms. DeHart knew of Canter's confidential program
  design and vendor contacts and relationships for the development of those programs. Upon
  information and belief, Ms. DeHart afterward was, and/or currently is, employed by or an
  independent contractor of Teachscape.

Heiler Ehrman up

- (4) Suddie Gossett: Ms. Gossett was formerly employed by Canter from August 2000 through April 2005, most recently as its Distance Learning Masters Field Representative. As a Distance Learning Masters Field Representative, Ms. Gossett was responsible for converting customer leads to program enrollments utilizing Canter's confidential and proprietary systems for tracking those conversions. Upon information and belief, Ms. Gossett afterward was, and/or currently is, employed by or an independent contractor of Teachscape;
- December 1991 through April 2004, most recently as its Vice President, Distance Learning Masters Programs. As an executive overseeing Canter's business in distance-delivered master's degree programs, Ms. Jaivin partook in critical and highly confidential aspects of this business. Ms. Jaivin was part of the group of Canter executives that strategized over and formulated Canter's confidential long-term business plans in the 2001 through 2004 time-frame. Ms. Jaivin was familiar with Canter's confidential three-year strategic plans and confidential Corporate Investment Proposals. Ms. Jaivin also negotiated Canter's confidential contracts with its educational institution partners, including Marygrove, through which Canter's master's degree programs and graduate course programs are offered. Upon information and belief, Ms. Jaivin afterward was and is currently employed by Teachscape as a Vice President, Higher Education;
- June 1998 through September 2004, most recently as its Vice President, Graduate Courses. As an executive overseeing Canter's business in distance-delivered graduate course programs, Ms. Kim was part of the group of Canter executives that strategized over and formulated Canter's confidential long-term business plans in the 2001 through 2004 time-frame. Ms. Kim was familiar with Canter's confidential three-year strategic plans and Corporate Investment Proposals. In addition, Ms. Kim negotiated contracts with Canter's educational institution partners for providing graduate course programs. Ms. Kim also was responsible for Canter's graduate course program business generally, including all of its

б

9

12 13

15

16 17

18 19

20

22

23

21

24 25

26 27

28

Heller Ehrman LLP marketing campaigns, sales, and operational aspects. Upon information and belief, Ms. Kim afterward was, and/or is, currently employed by Teachscape as Vice President, Sales & Marketing, Higher Education.

Filed 02/08/2008

- Stacey McNally: Ms. McNally was formerly employed by Canter from (7) September 1994 through September 2005, most recently as its Director of Enrollment Advisors and Director of Business Development. As a Director of Enrollment Advisors and a Director of Business Development, Ms. McNally was responsible for overseeing the conversion of customer leads to program enrollments, and was very familiar with Canter's confidential and proprietary systems for tracking those conversions. Upon information and belief, Ms. McNally afterward was, and/or currently is, employed by or an independent contractor of Teachscape.
- Romario Pineda: Mr. Pineda was formerly employed by Canter from (8) February 2001 through October 2005, most recently as its Manager of Operations, Distance Learning Masters. As a Manager of Operations, Distance Learning Masters, Mr. Pineda worked with Canter's vendors to minimize direct costs in delivering Canter's programs. Upon information and belief, Mr. Pineda afterward was, and/or currently is, employed by or an independent contractor of Teachscape.
- Brenda Pope-Ostrow: Ms. Pope-Ostrow was formerly employed by Canter from January 2001 through October 2005, most recently as its Director, Editorial Services. As a Director, Editorial Services, Ms. Pope-Ostrow knew of Canter's confidential program design and vendor contacts and relationships for the development of those programs. Upon information and belief, Ms. Pope-Ostrow afterward was, and/or currently is, employed by or an independent contractor of Teachscape.
- Mike Soules: Mr. Soules was formerly employed by Canter from June 1998 through September 2004, most recently as its Vice President, Business Development. As an executive overseeing Canter's business development for distance-delivered programs, Mr. Soules was part of the group of Canter executives that strategized over and formulated Canter's confidential long-term business plans in the 2001 through 2004 time-frame. Mr.

Heller Ehrman LLP

Soules was familiar with Canter's confidential three-year strategic plans and confidential Corporate Investment Proposals. In addition, Mr. Soules oversaw Canter's marketing efforts based on Canter's confidential market analysis and other information to attract teachers to enroll in Canter's programs through its educational institution partners. Upon information and belief, Mr. Soules afterward was, and/or currently is employed by Teachscape as General Manager, Higher Education.

- October 2001 through October 2005, most recently as its Course Materials Coordinator, Inventory Planning & Fulfillment Services. As a Course Materials Coordinator, Mr. Swalley managed the supply chain for the materials for Canter's programs, including managing vendor relationships for those materials. Upon information and belief, Mr. Swalley afterward was, and/or currently is, employed by or an independent contractor of Teachscape.
- (12) Simone Vilandre: Ms. Vilandre was formerly employed by Canter from December 1998 through September 2005, most recently as its Distance Learning Masters Field Representative. As a Distance Learning Masters Field Representative, Ms. Vilandre was responsible for converting leads to program enrollments utilizing Canter's confidential and proprietary systems for tracking those conversions. Upon information and belief, Ms. Vilandre afterward was, and/or currently is, employed by or an independent contractor of Teachscape.
- Canter's long-term, key employees who were integral to the development of Canter's education master's degree programs and graduate courses for teachers, as well as the marketing and delivery of those programs to teachers through Canter's educational institution partners. During their employment, Canter's Former Employees were instrumental in every aspect of Canter's degree program business, including contract negotiations, partnership development, market research, product marketing, product development, product delivery, and teacher recruiting/sales efforts with respect to Canter's

Heller Ehrman LLP master's degree and graduate course programs. Their involvement in these sensitive and critical aspects of Canter's business gave Canter's Former Employees access to Canter's confidential information during their employment with Canter.

12. Canter's Former Employees were well aware of their duty of confidentiality to Canter. Each and every one of Canter's Former Employees signed confidentiality agreements upon commencing work with Canter. Furthermore, Teachscape knew or had reason to know that Canter's Former Employees had a continuing duty of confidentiality towards Canter, in part because it was so advised by Canter. However, in 2005 and within months of formulating the most recent three-year plan, the majority of the individuals responsible for the development and execution of that plan had obtained positions at Teachscape.

# **Defendants' Wrongful Acts That Disrupt Canter's Business**

- master's degree program or even a graduate level course program in teaching. Within months of employing the majority of them, however, in early 2006 it marketed graduate level course programs, and then in September 2006 it marketed master's degree programs in teaching with specializations in Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment ("Teachscape's CIA Degree Program"), Reading and Literacy for Grades K-6 ("Teachscape's Reading Degree Program"), and two specializations in Mathematics, with one for Grades K-5 and one for Grades 6-8 (collectively, "Teachscape's Math Degree Programs").
- 14. Canter is informed and believes that Teachscape relied upon and/or otherwise used confidential information obtained by Canter's Former Employees as a result of their employment with Canter in developing and marketing Teachscape's distance-delivered master's degree and graduate course programs. Teachscape's distance-delivered master's degree and graduate course programs for teachers appear to be very similar to those offered by Canter.
- 15. In addition to mimicking Canter's master's degree programs in developing its own programs, Teachscape's partnering efforts for its graduate course and master's degree

Heller Ehrman LLP programs appear to have been directed solely at Canter's long-term educational institution partners, such as Marygrove.

- through Stacey McNally (Canter's former Director of Enrollment Advisors and a Director of Business Development who then worked for Teachscape), Teachscape's web site, and web sites directed to teachers, Teachscape marketed its CIA, Reading and Math Degree Programs to the public, including teachers, in or around September 2006. The advertisements and promotional materials indicated that these masters degree's programs would be launched through Marygrove, with whom Canter had an ongoing contractual relationship for providing programs for distance-delivered master's degree in education at that time. A sample advertisement is attached hereto as Exhibit A.
- 17. Teachscape's advertisements and promotional materials were untrue and misleading and likely to deceive the public. Teachscape previously had never offered a CIA Degree Program, and the only distance-delivered "Master in the Art of Teaching with a Focus on Curriculum Instruction & Assessment" degree program being offered at Marygrove at that time was Canter's CIA Degree Program. Consequently, Teachscape's advertising, including its reference to Teachscape CIA Degree Program as

Master in the Art of Teaching with a Focus on Curriculum Instruction & Assessment (updated)

implied that Teachscape's CIA Degree Program and its courses were updates or improvements of Canter's CIA Degree Program offered through Marygrove at that time. Other advertising and promotional materials prepared by Teachscape further implied and/or stated that Teachscape's CIA Degree Program was comprised of updates to the journal articles, texts, and assignments to Canter's CIA Degree Program offered through Marygrove at that time.

18. Although it had never before offered a program for master's degrees in teaching or even a teachers' graduate level course, Teachscape also began to negotiate agreements to offer these programs to Canter's most profitable educational institution

Heller Ehrman LLP partners within months of hiring the majority of Canter's Former Employees. The graduate course and master's degree programs Teachscape offered appear to be similar to those offered by Canter. By using Canter's confidential information, Teachscape was able to focus only on the partnerships and degree and course programs that were most profitable, thereby avoiding the waste of time and resources on markets and programs that, through years of research and analysis, Canter had already learned were not profitable. Overnight, Teachscape was able to enter a market that Canter had spent years to develop—by utilizing the knowledge of Canter's Former Employees.

- 19. Furthermore, upon information and belief, Teachscape immediately offered its new master's degree programs to one of Canter's long-term educational institution partners, Marygrove. The terms of the contract between Marygrove and Canter were confidential. Canter is informed and believes that Teachscape and Does, some of whom may be Canter's Former Employees, misused Canter's confidential information to offer master's degree programs in partnership with Marygrove. Canter is further informed and believes that Teachscape and Does, some of whom may be Canter's Former Employees, misused the confidential contract terms between Canter and Marygrove to negotiate with Marygrove on more favorable terms.
- 20. Specifically, in or about September 2006, Teachscape advertised that it would offer the Teachscape CIA Degree Program through Marygrove, with courses starting in 2007. This directly contravened the terms of the then existing contract between Marygrove and Canter, which specified that a degree with a specialization in Curriculum, Instruction and Assessment would be supplied to Marygrove exclusively by Canter during the contract's term. Ms. Jaivin, who participated in the negotiation of that Canter-Marygrove contract on behalf of Canter, and perhaps others among Canter's Former Employees, were fully aware of this term of the contract as a result of their employment with Canter.
- 21. In or about September 2006, Teachscape also advertised that it would offer Teachscape's Reading and Math Degree Programs through Marygrove, with courses starting in 2007. The then existing contract between Canter and Marygrove required

Marygrove to first offer any such opportunity to Canter on the same terms that it proposed to offer to a third-party. As no such offer had or ever did occur, this announcement' reflected a breach by Marygrove of its contract with Canter. Ms. Jaivin, who participated in the negotiation of the Canter-Marygrove contract on behalf of Canter, and perhaps others among Canter's Former Employees, were fully aware of this term of that contract as a result of their employment with Canter.

- Teachscape and Marygrove in the Fall of 2006 and inquired about the master's degree offerings Teachscape intended to launch through Marygrove in early 2007. Marygrove, at that time, denied the existence of any relationship between Marygrove and Teachscape. Moreover, Marygrove denied any knowledge of Teachscape's advertisement of these degree programs, including Teachscape's advertising of Teachscape's CIA Degree Program and its course materials as "updated." Marygrove further stated that it had no knowledge of any degree program offerings by Teachscape through Marygrove that would be launched in 2007.
- 23. Teachscape, on the other hand, did not deny the relationship because it had publicly advertised the existence of such. However, Teachscape claimed that it was unaware that its intended degree offerings through Marygrove in any way interfered with any contractual obligations between Canter and Marygrove, despite the fact that several of Teachscape's new employees and executives, such as Ms. Jaivin, were involved in negotiating Canter's contract with Marygrove, and had negotiated the very contractual terms at issue. Despite taking the strategy of denying any wrongdoing, Teachscape nonetheless thereafter withdrew its offering of the Teachscape CIA Degree Program through Marygrove for the year 2007, implicitly acknowledging that this offering was a breach of the Canter-Marygrove contract.
- 24. Upon information and belief, Teachscape also wrongfully induced Marygrove to breach its contract with Canter by agreeing to offer Teachscape's Reading and Math

7

10

15

13

16 17

18 19

20 21

22

25

26 27

Heller Ehrman LLP Degree Programs on terms other than those offered to Canter, in violation of the parties' right of first refusal provision in the Canter-Marygrove contract.

On information and belief, Teachscape has engaged in the conduct alleged 25. herein with respect to some or all of Canter's other profitable contractual relationships with its educations institution partners, including Andrews University and Cardinal Stritch University.

#### FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION BY CANTER AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS FOR MISAPPROPRIATION OF TRADE SECRETS IN VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL CODE §§ 3426 ET SEO.

- Paragraphs 1 through 25 above are hereby incorporated by reference. 26.
- Canter was in possession of trade secrets which consisted of, among other 27. things, strategic 3-year plans, Corporate Investment Proposals, a proprietary methodology for identifying appropriate markets for its products, information regarding market segmentation, design of distance-learning degree programs and methods for their accreditation, and specific vendor and customer contacts and preferences. All of these trade secrets provided Canter with both short- and long-term advantages in the marketplace and were of substantial economic value to Canter.
- Canter took reasonable efforts to protect these valuable trade secrets, 28. including but not limited to requiring employees to sign agreements not to disclose Canter's confidential information without express permission.
- Canter's Former Employees had access to these trade secrets solely as a result 29. of their employment with Canter.
- During their engagement of Canter's Former Employees, Teachscape and 30. Does misappropriated the above-described trade secrets of Canter by taking Canter's proprietary knowledge about lucrative markets and using that knowledge without permission to market Teachscape's competing services to Canter's educational institution partners.
- As a proximate result of the above-described misappropriations, Teachscape 31. and Does were, and are being, unjustly enriched at the expense of Canter.

9

5

12 13

15

.16

14

17 18

19 20

21

23 24

25 26

27

Heller Ehrman ເພ

As a direct and proximate result of Teachscape's and Does' misappropriation 32. of Canter's trade secrets, Canter has suffered irreparable harm and will continue to suffer irreparable injury that cannot be adequately remedied at law. Accordingly, Canter prays that Teachscape, Does, their officers, agents, employees, and all persons acting in concert with them be enjoined from engaging in any further such conduct.

- Teachscape and Does knew that their conduct would directly affect and 33. impinge upon Canter and its business, reduce its revenues and profits, and that it was certain that Canter would suffer harm. Teachscape and Does knew that Canter would rely upon Canter's Former Employees' purported loyalty and confidentiality agreements not to misuse the confidential, proprietary information of Canter, and knew that they were in the best position to know the consequences of their intended actions, and knew that injuries would likely be suffered by Canter. Notwithstanding this knowledge, Teachscape and Does, in willful and conscious disregard of the rights of Canter, repeatedly and intentionally misappropriated and misused Canter's trade secret information to cause Canter harm and to advance Teachscape's and Does' own pecuniary and other interests.
- Teachscape's and Does' acts and omissions were so willful and malicious, 34. and in such reckless and conscious disregard of the rights of Canter, so as to justify the award of exemplary and punitive damages against Teachscape and Does pursuant to California Civil Code § 3426.3, in an amount to be determined at trial, but sufficient to punish such actions and to deter such actions by Teachscape and Does in the future.
- Teachscape's and Does' acts and omissions were so willful and malicious, 35. and in such reckless and conscious disregard of the rights of Canter, so as to justify the award of Canter's reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to California Civil Code § 3426.4.

# SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF BY CANTER AGAINST TEACHSCAPE AND ECONOMIC RELATIONSHIP IN VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA LAW

- Paragraphs 1 through 35 above are hereby incorporated by reference. 36.
- Canter maintains client relationships and other economic relationships, 37. including prospective relationships, with a number of educational institution partners such

as Marygrove, Andrews University, and Cardinal Stritch University. These relationships hold the probability of future economic benefit to Canter.

- 38. One example of Canter's prospective economic relationships is Canter's and Marygrove's entry into a contract with confidential terms that guaranteed Canter the right of first refusal if Marygrove decided to offer additional distance-learning master's degree programs beyond Canter's CIA Degree Program, which would include Canter's Reading and Math Degree Programs.
- 39. Teachscape and Does knew or had reason to know of Canter's relationships with these educational institution partners by way of their employment of Canter's Former Employees, including Ms. Jaivin.
- 40. On information and belief, Teachscape and Does used Canter's confidential information about Canter's relationships with one or more of these educational institution partners to gain an unlawful competitive advantage, and to induce at least one of Canter's existing partners, Marygrove, to reject a Canter program and to replace it with a substantially similar program offered by Teachscape.
- 41. In or about 2005, Marygrove and Canter were in fact exploring the possibility of Marygrove offering Canter's Reading Degree Program such that Canter prepared marketing materials for this program offering. However, Marygrove abruptly withdrew from those negotiations. Subsequently, Canter learned that Teachscape would be offering Teachscape's Reading Degree Program in partnership with Marygrove.
- 42. Teachscape's and Does acts were intentional and wrongful, and designed to disrupt Canter's relationship with Marygrove and other of these educational institution partners and prospective partners.
- 43. Canter's economic relationships with Marygrove and other educational institution partners and prospective partners were actually disrupted as a result of Teachscape's and Does' wrongful acts.
- 44. As a direct and proximate result of Teachscape's and Does' intentional, wrongful, and unjustified conduct, Canter's economic prospects and relationships with

8

.9

10 11

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

Marygrove and other educational institution partners have been damaged. Canter has accordingly suffered and will continue to suffer damages directly and proximately caused by Teachscape's and Does' conduct in an amount to be proven at trial.

Filed 02/08/2008

- As a direct and proximate result of Teachscape's and Does' interference with 45. Canter's prospective economic relationships, Canter has suffered irreparable harm and will continue to suffer irreparable injury that cannot be adequately remedied at law. Accordingly, Canter prays that Teachscape, Does, their officers, agents, employees, and all persons acting in concert with them be enjoined from engaging in any further such conduct.
- Teachscape's and Does' actions as described above comprise a series of 46. intentional breaches of Canter's confidences with the intention on the part of Teachscape and Does of thereby depriving Canter of property or legal rights or otherwise causing injury to Canter. Teachscape's and Does' conduct as described above was despicable conduct that subjected Canter to cruel and unjust hardship, and that was carried out by Teachscape and Does' with a willful and conscious disregard of the rights of Canter, notwithstanding Teachscape's and Does' knowledge of its intended actions and the damages that would be suffered by Canter as a result of the conduct of Teachscape and Does.
- Teachscape and Does knew that their conduct would directly affect and 47. impinge upon Canter and its business, reduce its revenues and profits, and that it was certain that Canter would suffer harm. Teachscape and Does knew that Canter would rely upon Canter's Former Employees' purported loyalty and agreement not to misuse the confidential, proprietary information of Canter, and knew that Teachscape and Does themselves were in the best position to know the consequences of their intended actions, and knew that injuries would likely be suffered by Canter. Notwithstanding this knowledge, Teachscape and Does, in willful and conscious disregard of the rights of Canter, repeatedly and intentionally interfered with Canter's prospective economic relationships to cause Canter harm and to advance Teachscape's and Does' own pecuniary and other interests.

Heller

Fhrman LLP

	48.	Teachscape's and Does' acts and omissions were so willful and malicious,
and in	such r	eckless and conscious disregard of the rights of Canter, so as to justify the
award	of exe	mplary and punitive damages against Teachscape and Does pursuant to
Califo	rnia Ci	vil Code § 3426.3, in an amount to be determined at trial, but sufficient to
punish	such :	actions and to deter such actions by Teachscape and Does in the future.

49. Teachscape's and Does' acts and omissions were so willful and malicious, and in such reckless and conscious disregard of the rights of Canter, so as to justify the award of Canter's reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to California Civil Code § 3426.4.

# THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF BY CANTER AGAINST TEACHSCAPE AND DOES FOR INTENTIONAL INTERFERENCE WITH CONTRACT IN VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA LAW

- 50. Paragraphs 1 through 49 above are hereby incorporated by reference.
- 51. Canter and Marygrove had entered into a contract with confidential terms for the provision of Canter's CIA Degree Program for a period of time, with automatic extensions absent notice otherwise, and with the right of first refusal if Marygrove decided to offer additional distance-learning master's degree programs beyond Canter's CIA Degree Program, which would necessarily include Canter's Reading and Math Degree Programs.
- 52. Teachscape and Does knew of these valid contractual terms between Canter and Marygrove, and were in possession of confidential information about the terms by virtue of the Canter's Former Employees' employment with Canter, including Ms. Jaivin's employment and duties with Canter.
- 53. In or about September 2006, Canter was informed that Marygrove would offer Teachscape's CIA Degree Program, starting in January of 2007, which was during the term that Marygrove was contractually obligated to offer Canter's CIA Degree Program.
- 54. In or about September 2006, Canter also was informed that Marygrove would offer Teachscape's Reading and Math Degree Programs, starting in January of 2007, which was during the term that Marygrove was contractually obligated to provide Canter the right of first refusal in offering the same or similar programs through Marygrove.

- 55. Upon information and belief, Teachscape and Does used Canter's confidential information in order to disrupt the contractual relationship between Canter and Marygrove.
- 56. Teachscape's CIA Degree Program offered through Marygrove was described as "updated" in advertising on Teachscape's web site, and Canter is informed and believes that Teachscape's CIA Degree Program is essentially a copy of the same program offered by Canter.
- 57. Canter questioned Marygrove about Teachscape's CIA Degree Program advertised as "updated," and was informed that Marygrove knew nothing about the marketing of Teachscape's CIA Degree Program as being offered through Marygrove.

  Canter separately questioned Teachscape about Teachscape's CIA Degree Program that Teachscape was apparently offering through Marygrove, and was told that Teachscape was unaware that such offering would violate the terms of any contract between Marygrove and Canter. These misrepresentations and falsehoods have damaged Canter.
- 58. Marygrove did not provide Canter the opportunity to offer Reading and Math Degrees through Marygrove prior to Teachscape advertising its offering of the same or similar degree programs through Marygrove. Also, Marygrove does not intend to further renew its contract for the provision of Canter's CIA Degree Program.
- 59. Teachscape's and Does' intentional interference with Canter's contract with Marygrove has damaged Canter in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 60. Teachscape's and Does' acts and omissions were so willful and malicious, and in such reckless and conscious disregard of the rights of Canter, so as to justify the award of exemplary and punitive damages against Teachscape and Does pursuant to California Civil Code § 3426.3, in an amount to be determined at trial, but sufficient to punish such actions and to deter such actions by Teachscape and Does in the future.
- 61. Teachscape's and Does' acts and omissions were so willful and malicious, and in such reckless and conscious disregard of the rights of Canter, so as to justify the award of Canter's reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to California Civil Code § 3426.4.

# 

# 

# 

## 

#### 

#### 

# 

#### 

# 

#### 

### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

Heller Ehrman LLP

# FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF BY CANTER AGAINST TEACHSCAPE FOR UNTRUE OR MISLEADING ADVERTISING IN VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA BUSINESS & PROFESSIONS CODE §§ 17500 ET SEO.

- 62. Paragraphs 1 through 25 above are hereby incorporated by reference.
- 63. The acts described above constitute untrue or misleading advertising by Teachscape in violation of California Business & Professions Code §§ 17500 et seq.
- 64. Beginning on or about September 2006, Teachscape engaged in advertising to the public, including teachers, Teachscape's "Master in the Art of Teaching with a Focus on Curriculum, Instruction and Assessment" program—i.e., Teachscape's CIA Degree Program—being offered through Marygrove in January 2007, and the course materials for that program, as "updated." A sample advertisement is attached hereto as Exhibit A. Marygrove has denied any knowledge of this advertisement.
- 65. Teachscape described its new relationship with Marygrove in an untrue or misleading way for the purpose of misleading the public to believe that it has a long and successful track record in offering this master's degree programs through Marygrove, when in fact Teachscape does not have such a track record with respect to offering any such program through Marygrove or otherwise, because it has never previously offered such any master's degree program. Even Marygrove has denied any involvement in such advertising, apparently due to its false and misleading nature.
- 66. By marketing Teachscape's CIA Degree Program as "updated" and by implying a long-term successful partnership with Marygrove, Teachscape's advertising was untrue and misleading and likely to deceive the public, including teachers that may enroll in master's degree programs, in that it states that Teachscape's CIA Degree Program was an updated version of Canter's CIA Degree Program, which was being offered at Marygrove by the exact same name and at the exact same time of Teachscape's advertising.
- 67. Upon information and belief, Teachscape's false and misleading advertising aroused suspicions that Teachscape was actually using Canter's intellectual property and/or leveraging off its brand name. Upon information and belief, Teachscape's false and

> 5 6

7

4

9 10

12 13

11

14 15

16 17

18 19

20 21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

Heller Ehrman LLP misleading advertising caused actual confusion to teachers that are prospective and current Marygrove students.

- In making and disseminating the statements herein alleged, Teachscape knew, 68. or by exercise of reasonable care should have known, that the statements were untrue or misleading and so acted in violation of California Business & Professions Code §§ 17500 et seq.
- Canter is entitled to restitution for the benefits improperly garnered by 69. Teachscape as a direct and proximate result of its acts of untrue or misleading advertising.

#### FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF BY CANTER AGAINST TEACHSCAPE FOR UNFAIR COMPETITION IN VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA BUSINESS & PROFESSIONS CODE §§ 17200 ET SEO.

- Paragraphs 1 through 25 above are hereby incorporated by reference. 70.
- The acts described above constitute unfair competition by Teachscape in 71. violation of California Business & Professions Code §§ 17200 et seq. because, among other things, Teachscape's advertising, as alleged above, constitutes unfair competition in violation of California Business & Professions Code §§ 17500 et seq.
- Furthermore, Teachscape has engaged in and continues to engage in other 72. unlawful, fraudulent and unfair business practices, as set forth above, that constitute unfair competition within the meaning of Business & Professions Code §§ 17200 et seq. These practices are immoral and unscrupulous and have been undertaken in violation of policies established in the California Civil Code (e.g., §§ 1549 et seq.) and the California Labor Code (e.g., §§ 2860 & 2870). Moreover, Teachscape's business practices serve no legitimate business purpose, and if any purpose were found, the benefit to any alleged legitimate business interests of Teachscape is far outweighed by the substantial harm to Canter that has resulted from the practices.
- Canter is entitled to restitution for the benefits improperly garnered by 73. Teachscape as a direct and proximate result of its acts of unfair competition.
- As a direct and proximate result of Teachscape's unfair conduct described 74. above, Canter has suffered irreparable harm, and will continue to suffer irreparable injury

and

that cannot be adequately remedied at law. Accordingly, Canter prays that Teachscape be enjoined from engaging in any further such acts of unfair competition, and that Teachscape be ordered to disgorge all ill-gotten profits to Canter and make restitution to Canter.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

- 75. WHEREFORE, Canter prays as follows:
- A. For a preliminary and permanent injunction prohibiting Teachscape and Does from directly or indirectly using, selling, offering to sell, disclosing or distributing in any manner Canter's confidential, proprietary information and trade secrets;
- B. For a preliminary and permanent injunction prohibiting Teachscape and Does from wrongfully interfering with Canter's contracts and other economic relationships with its educational institution partners;
- C. For a preliminary and permanent injunction prohibiting Teachscape and Does from continuing to engage in the unlawful conduct alleged herein;
- D. For general and special damages according to proof at trial and that exceeds \$25,000;
  - E. For disgorgement of all profits;
  - F. For restitution of ill-gotten gains;
  - G. For exemplary and punitive damages;
  - H. For attorneys' fees and costs of suit incurred as a result of this lawsuit;
- I. Award of such other, further, and different relief as the Court deems proper under the circumstances.

Dated: January 23, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

HELLER EHRMAN LLP

DANIEL

Attorneys for Plaintiff
CANTER AND ASSOCIATES, LLC

28

Heller Ehrman LLP

# **EXHIBIT A**



# Announcing: 3 Masters Degree Programs from Marygrove College and Teachscape

Regionally accredited\* Marygrove College in partnership with Teachscape, one of the nation's leading providers of professional development services for teachers, is launching three new degree programs using Teachscape's easy to use online learning platform. Courses include unique video case studies that demonstrate best practices in real-life classrooms:



Master in the Art of Teaching with a Focus on Curriculum, Instruction & Assessment (updated)

Master in Education in Reading & Literacy, K-6 Master in Education in Mathematics, Grades K-5 or Grades 6-8

Convenient: study and work anywhere, anytime, at home or at school Relevant: study, practice and reflect on skills based on the most recent educational research Fast: earn a master's degree in 20 months
Empowering: focus on the knowledge and skills required to deliver effective instruction

to diverse learners

The outcomes from all the programs are consistent with the Five Core Propositions of the National Board for Professional Teaching Standards:

- 1 Commitment to students and learning
- 2 Knowledge of subject area and how to teach it
- 3 Management and monitoring of student learning
- 4 Reflection on teaching practice
- 5 Membership in learning communities

\* Marygrove College is a member of the North Central Association (NCA) located at 30 LaSalle Street, Suite 2400, Chicago, IL 60602. Phone: 312-263-0456, Fax: 312-263-7462, http://www.ncahigherlearningcommission.org

See reverse side for brief descriptions of each of the programs.

teachscape

Send to:
Masser's Programs
Attn: Stacey McNally
227 Ivins Avenue

Cherry Hill, NJ 08002

Or call us at 877-984-7277 toll free or via e-mail at masters@teachscape.com

Yes! I am interested in finding out more about Marygrove's master's programs.

First Name	Middle	Last Name	
Address			
	<u> </u>		
Phone	E	-mail	CODE: HE-IANI

# Master in the Art of Teaching with a Focus on Curriculum, Instruction & Assessment

This program is designed to empower teachers in their classrooms by focusing on the knowledge and skills required to deliver effective instruction to diverse learners from preschool through high school, including those with special needs. The outcomes of the program are

consistent with the Five Core Propositions of the National Board for Professional Teaching Standards. At the end of this program, successful teachers will submit a Professional Educator's Portfolio, in lieu of a master's thesis, that may serve as a foundation for National Board Certification.

The program consists of ten sequential, cutting-edge courses, three semester hours each, for a total of 30 graduate credits. From the beginning to the end of the program, course work is designed for practical use in the classroom with each course building upon the previous one to strengthen concepts and skills, and to increase teaching effectiveness.

## Master in Education in Elementary Reading & Literacy

This program provides participants with a comprehensive knowledge base in the reading process and the instructional techniques that are most effective for teaching students to learn to read. The curriculum focuses on the structure of language and the five components of reading. Additional content includes specific research-based techniques for teaching reading skills and strategies, processes for utilizing curriculum effectively, methods for assessing reading progress in individuals and groups, and ideas for differentiating instruction for diverse learners.

In each course, experienced teachers and experts in the field demonstrate highly effective strategies for teaching reading. You will explore the research base surrounding a focus concept, view an example of the research in action, and have the opportunity to apply and reflect on what you have learned.

## Master in Education in Mathematics, Grades K-5

This program provides an opportunity for elementary teachers to assess their own conceptual understanding of fundamental mathematics, and allows them to build their own content knowledge by moving from procedural to conceptual strategies in the areas of number and operations, measurement, geometry, algebra, and data analysis and probability. Through analysis of research, specialist commentaries, classroom examples, and student work, teachers examine ways to develop mathematical fluency that lead to continued success in higher-level mathematics.

In each course, experienced teachers and experts in the field demonstrate highly effective strategies for teaching elementary mathematics. You will explore the research base surrounding key concepts, view examples of the research in action, and have the opportunity to apply and reflect on what you have learned. At the beginning of each session there is an overview to guide your work. At several points you will have the opportunity to exchange ideas and share your work with colleagues.

#### Master in Education in Mathematics, Grades 6-8

This program examines both mathematical content and pedagogy related to teaching middle school mathematics. Teachers build their own conceptual understanding of major mathematical concepts in the areas of number and operations, measurement, geometry, algebra, and data analysis and probability. Through analysis of research, specialist commentaries, classroom examples, and student work, teachers examine ways to develop mathematical fluency that lead to continued success in higher-level mathematics.

2 3 4 5	ANNETTE L. HURST (State Bar No. 148738) DANIEL N. KASSABIAN (State Bar No. 215249) ELENA M. DIMUZIO (State Bar No. 239953) HELLER EHRMAN LLP 333 Bush Street San Francisco, California 94104-2878 Telephone: +1.415.772.6000 Facsimile: +1.415.772.6268 E-mail: Annette.Hurst@HellerEhrman.com Daniel.Kassabian@HellerEhrman.com Elena.DiMuzio@HellerEhrman.com GORDON PARK-Li, Clerk BY: WESLEY RAMIREZ Deputy Clerk		
10	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO		
12	(Unlimited Civil Case)		
13	CANTER & ASSOCIATES, LLC,		
14	a Delaware corporation,  DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL		
15	Plaintiff,		
16	v.		
17			
18	TEACHSCAPE, INC., a Delaware corporation, and DOES 1-15, inclusive,		
19	Defendants.		
20	TO THE CALL BY DATE.		
21	TO EACH PARTY AND COUNSEL OF RECORD FOR EACH PARTY:		
22	Plaintiff Canter & Associates, LLC hereby demands a jury trial in this action.		
23 24	Dated: January 23, 2008 Respectfully submitted,		
. 25			
26	By D. Lauabien		
27	DANHEL N. KASSABIAN Attorneys for Plaintiff		
. 28	CANTER AND ASSOCIATES, LLC		
Heller			
Ehrman LLP	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL		

ANNETTE L. HURST (State Bar No. 148738) DANIEL N. KASSABIAN (State Bar No. 215249) ELENA M. DIMUZIO (State Bar No. 239953) HELLER EHRMAN LLP 31 333 Bush Street JAN 2 4 2008 San Francisco, California 94104-2878 GORDON PARK-LI, Clerk Telephone: +1.415.772.6000 Facsimile: +1.415.772.6268 Annette.Hurst@HellerEhrman.com E-mail: Daniel.Kassabian@HellerEhrman.com Elena.DiMuzio@HellerEhrman.com 7. 8 Attorneys for Plaintiff CANTER & ASSOCIATES, LLC . 9 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO 11 12 (Unlimited Civil Case) 13 Case No.: CANTER & ASSOCIATES, LLC, 14 a Delaware corporation, NOTICE OF RELATED CASES 15 Plaintiff, 16 ٧. 17 TEACHSCAPE, INC., a Delaware corporation, 18 and DOES 1-15, inclusive, 19 Defendants. 20 21 Pursuant to California Rule of Court 3.300, Plaintiff Canter & Associates, LLC 22 ("Canter") hereby gives notice of a federal action pending in the United States District 23 Court for the Northern District of California, San Jose Division that is arguably related to 24 the above-captioned action in this Court. 25 The pending federal action, now captioned Laureate Education, Inc. v. Teachscape, 26 Inc., Case No. C 07 3225 RS, was filed on June 19, 2007. As initially filed, Canter was a 27 plaintiff in the federal action, and the state law causes of action now pending in this Court 28

Heller Ehrman LLP

were alleged therein. At present, however, Canter voluntarily did not re-plead any claims in the federal action, but instead pled causes of action in this Court. Consequently, the parties and claims in the federal action at present differ from the parties and causes of action pending in this Court.

Accordingly, Canter asserts that the federal action and the action now pending in this Court: (1) do not involve the same parties and are based on the same or similar claims; (2) do not necessarily require the determination of the same or substantially identical questions of law or fact; (3) do not involve claims against, title to, possession of, or damages to the same property; and (4) are not likely for other reasons to require substantial duplication of judicial resources if heard simultaneously by the courts. Thus, Canter asserts that any relation of cases or other action by this Court due to the existence of federal action is unwarranted and unnecessary.

Dated: January 23, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

HELLER EHRMAN LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff

CANTER AND ASSOCIATES, LLC

Heller Ehrman w

28